On an Idea of a (Possibly) Uniform Data Base for Life Sciences from Molecular Biology to Cognitive Psychology

Adam Obtułowicz

Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences Śniadeckich 8, P.O.B. 21, 00-956 Warszawa, Poland adamo@impan.guv.pl

1 Introduction

Our purpose is to outline an idea of a data base for life sciences (or their methods), from molecular biology to cognitive psychology, where one claims a certain uniformity of data models for the base to provide a common language ground for a discourse and a communication between phenomenal levels: life over (bio)chemistry, cognitive phenomena over life. We do it in Section 2 by sketching a prospect (or a panorama) of the knowledge to be organized in that data base. The prospect contains among others evolutive membrane systems due to Gh. Păun [8] which are expected to be appropriate data models for the base.

The outlined idea of a data base for life sciences has been inspired by the software system RUBATO for data analysis in musical sciences from (auditory) physiology and psychology of perception, counterpoint and harmony theories, to semiotic aspects discussed in musicology and (comparative) theory of performance. The system RUBATO was designed by a group led by mathematician and musicologist G. Mazzola who formulated mathematical foundations of the system in [6].

2 The Prospect

The prospect of the knowledge to be organized into a data base for life sciences is given in Figure 1.

We emphasize in the prospect those known qualitative mathematical models and their theories used in the area of our interest which may serve as data models for the base because of their uniformity providing the mentioned common language ground for a discourse.

Those mathematical models and their theories comprise Gh. Păun's theory of evolving membrane systems [8], still in progress [9], used to describe biochemical processes in cells and then in tissues.

PROSPECT	web of social and cultural interconnection	as
	1 1 1 0 1 1 . 1	
	lower bound of superpsychological:	
	memory evolutive systems capable	
	to create virtual realities in memory	t
	including selfness or own autonomy of	
	a system (emergence of consciousness)	superpsychological
		subpsychological
	lower bound of supercognitive:	beings
	$memory\ evolutive\ systems\ capable$	ł
Ehresmann-	to create virtual reality in a memory	
Vanbremeersch	(with its autonomous clock)	t
theory of categories	to analyze past and predict future	supercognitive
representing		subcognitive
hierarchical systems		phenomena
	lower bound of supertissue:	Ļ
	neural nets of neurons-nodes	t
	and synapses-edges	supertissue
		subtissue
Gh. Păun's theory		systems
of evolving		-
membrane systems	lower bound of supermolecular:	t
	cells determined by genetic codes	supermolecular
		submolecular
mathematics		objects
of quantum physics		
		7
	\smallsetminus	

Fig. 1.

We also include A.C. Ehresmann's and J.-P. Vanbremeersch's theory of hierarchical systems represented by some categories [3], which have been applied to model memory evolutive systems and their evolutive processes of complexification up to emergence of consciousness [4], [5].

The prospect was inspired by [1], where the authors emphasize three general levels: physical, biological, cognitive, and also an internal hierarchical organisation of living entities which is represented in Păun's approach and the Ehresmann-Vanbremeersch theory of hierarchical systems.

We expect that a more uniform treatment of mathematical models discussed in Păun's theory, including (Mem)Brane Calculi, could be provided by a new theory, called *Biological Set Theory*, basing on hereditary finite sets due to J. Barwise [2] and the representation of membrane systems by hereditary finite (multi)sets which was presented in [7].

An extension of Biological Set Theory to capture the approach of Ehresmann-Vanbremeersch is problematic because of Multiplicity Principle [5] which admits multiple internal hierarchical organization of objects (described in terms of colimits of patterns) of categories representing hierarchical systems.

References

- 1. F. Bailly, G. Longo: *Objective and Epistemic Complexity in Biology*. http://www.di. cns.fr/user/longo.
- 2. J. Barwise: Admissible Sets and Structures. Berlin, 1975.
- A.C. Ehresmann, J.-P. Vanbremeersch: Evolutive systems: A mathematical model for complex systems. *Bull. Math. Biology*, 49 (1987), 13–50.
- 4. A.C. Ehresmann, J.-P. Vanbremeersch: *How to model consciousness in a Memory Evolutive System?* http://perso.wanadoo.fr/vbm-ehr/Ang/W24A2T.htm
- 5. A.C. Ehresmann, J.-P. Vanbremeersch: Emergence processes up to consciuosness using multiplicity principle and quantum physics. http://perso.wanadoo.fr/ vbm-ehr/Ang/W24A5T.htm
- 6. G. Mazzola: The Topos of Music. Basel, 2002.
- A. Obtułowicz: Gandy's principles for mechanisms and membrane computing. In Proc. Cellular Computing (Complexity Aspects), ESF PESC Exploratory Workshop, January 31–February 2, 2005 (M.A. Gutierez-Naranjo et al. eds.), 267–276.
- 8. Gh. Păun: Membrane Computing. An Introduction. Berlin, 2002.
- 9. P systems web page: http://psystems.disco.unimib.it